

Vaccination's Dilemma: Unsafe at Any Dose

by Richard Gale, and Gary Null
Progressive Radio Network

The CDC and advocates for mandatory vaccination consistently repeat a dangerous mantra that finds no warranted basis in medical science. This monolithic industry, now a massive network of private and government institutions, state senates, and supported by a compliant media, want us to believe that science has finally settled the debate over vaccine safety and efficacy. All the data is in, so we are told, and no further research and discussion is necessary because vaccines have been officially ruled to pose no neurological and immunological risk to infants, children, pregnant mothers, adults and the elderly. This official policy is founded upon flawed premises and a primitive understanding about the complexities of the human body and its multifaceted immunological system.

This argument's fallacy is actually quite simple. Valid science is never settled. The myth of "settled science," which is especially endemic to the biological and medical sciences that rely on private financial interests, is sheer propaganda. Valid science, on the other hand, constantly seeks new discoveries to acquire further knowledge and greater understanding. The pursuit to fully comprehend the complexity of our biological, immunological and physiological systems, therefore, is in perpetual infinite regress. Today's justifications for medical intervention, whether by drugs or vaccines, eventually become tomorrow's barbarities as science further penetrates the hidden functions and operations of the human organism. Hence, valid medical research should elicit new questions and not settle upon incomplete facts that are then proselytized as universal truths.

A medical science that refuses to ask new questions and settles upon disputed beliefs to sustain an industry's financial portfolio is Scientism, a quasi-faith-based creed now institutionalized to promulgate repressive laws. These laws then advance Scientism's authority. Unfortunately, today this accurately represents the sad state of vaccine research and vaccination policy. Modern vaccine science and conventional medicine, in general, have morphed into a new fascism, a rigid doctrine that has sacrificed the foundations of scientific integrity on the altar of institutional greed, privilege, and profit.

During the past decade, we have witnessed outbreaks of infectious disease among the fully vaccinated. We observe new viral strains appearing that escape current immunization. There are rising rates in autism and neurological disorders and increases in autoimmune conditions never before observed in large percentages of children. And there is a growing body of research pointing to vaccination's adverse effects upon our immune systems. All of these trends, and many more, give sufficient reason to undertake a serious review of official claims over vaccine safety and efficacy. The evidence for the alarming rates in childhood illnesses parallel to the ever-increasing number of childhood vaccinations and the government's ridiculous one-size-fits-all policy behind mass, indiscriminate vaccination should convince us that vaccine safety is far from a settled matter.

The official CDC position on vaccines is that they are "unavoidably unsafe." As New York University's professor of law Mary Holland has repeatedly stated, the CDC can't have it both ways. Vaccines

cannot be simultaneously safe and unsafe. Yet, by mincing terms, spinning propaganda, and misinterpreting and manipulating scientific research to whitewash vaccine's life-threatening risks, this is what the government pressures parents to believe.¹

If we can accept the claim that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe," then the question is how unsafe are they? And now we possess an enormous body of yet to be challenged research, clinical trials, case examples of severe vaccine injury, and court compensations paid out to families with vaccine-injured children to conclude that vaccine development has a very long way to go before a medically proven safe vaccine will ever be created. Unfortunately, it is our opinion that this research is being ignored or at best marginalized by the most rabid CDC supporters and proponents of mass vaccination.

If the most compelling and thorough medical research indicates that there is no such thing as a safe vaccine, then what are we to make about those in the growing community opposing vaccination who demand safer vaccines while claiming to be pro-vaccine?

First, we must acknowledge that all vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe"; this was a 2011 Supreme Court ruling in the *Bruesewitz versus Wyeth* case.² Therefore, all vaccines on the market are categorically unsafe. Perhaps in some distant future a vaccine, which remains only in the imagination of science fiction, will be developed to effectively and safely immunize against an infectious disease. So far, such a vaccine does not exist. Therefore, conscientious efforts to adhere to the precautionary principle and